

ILLINOIS CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION AUTHORITY

120 South Riverside Plaza • Suite 1016 • Chicago, Illinois 60606 • (312) 793-8550

Juvenile Crime Enforcement Coalition

April 5, 2007 120 South Riverside Plaza Chicago, Illinois 2:00 p.m.

AGENDA

- ► Call to Order
- Chair's Remarks
- Executive Director's Welcome
- 1. Minutes of the February 1, 2007 Juvenile Crime Enforcement Coalition Meeting
- 2. Staff Recommendations for FFY02 FFY05 Plan Adjustments
- 3. Presentation on Juvenile Reporting Centers
- New Business
- Old Business
- Adjourn

This meeting will be accessible to persons with disabilities in compliance with Executive Order #5 and pertinent State and Federal Laws upon anticipated attendance. Persons with disabilities planning to attend and needing special accommodations should contact by telephone or letter Mr. Hank Anthony, Associate Director, Office of Administrative Services, Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority, 120 South Riverside Plaza, Chicago, Illinois 60606-3997 (telephone 312-793-8550). TDD services are available at 312-793-4170.



120 South Riverside Plaza • Suite 1016 • Chicago, Illinois 60606 • (312) 793-8550

MINUTES

JUVENILE CRIME ENFORCEMENT COALITION

February 1, 2007

120 South Riverside Plaza Chicago, Illinois

Call to Order and Roll Call

The Juvenile Crime Enforcement Coalition (JCEC) met on February 1, 2007, at the Authority's offices at 120 South Riverside Plaza, Chicago, Illinois. JCEC Chairman Sheldon Sorosky (via teleconference) called the meeting to order at 2:05 p.m. Authority Associate Director John Chojnacki called the roll. Other JCEC members and designees present were: Rodney Ahitow, Patricia Connell, Bridget Healy Ryan for State's Attorney Devine (via teleconference), Barbara Engel (via teleconference), Gary Leofanti (via teleconference), Mike Mahoney (via teleconference), and Wayne Straza. Also in attendance were Authority Executive Director Lori G. Levin, Assistant Deputy Superintendent Ann Egan of the Chicago Police Department, Juvenile Accountability Block Grants (JABG) Program Supervisor Ron Reichgelt, and other Authority staff members.

Minutes of the August 31, 2006 JCEC Meeting

Ms. Connell moved to approve the minutes of the August 31, 2006 and JCEC Meeting. Mr. Mahoney seconded the motion and the motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

FFY07 Federal Application

Mr. Mahoney said that the application included in the meeting materials was the pro forma application. The application does not lock the Authority into any particular budget, but it does secure the Authority's consideration in the award process. Juvenile Crime Enforcement Coalition February 1, 2007 Meeting Page 2 of 6

Mr. Mahoney moved to approve the proposed FFY07 federal application. Ms. Connell seconded the motion. The motion was approved by the following voice vote:

Aye – 8	Nay – 0	Abstain – 0	Absent – 2
Mr. Ahitow			Mr. Friedenauer
Ms. Connell			Mr. Lonbom
Ms. Engel			
Ms. Healy Ryan			
Mr. Leofanti			
Mr. Mahoney			
Mr. Sorosky			
Mr. Straza			

Other Business

Mr. Reichgelt requested that everyone review the roster information on Page 1 of the program narrative and verify or correct that information. The letter in the column entitled, "Represents" corresponds to the items in the list on Page 19 (Appendix F) of the application. Mr. Mahoney noted that the JCEC roster does not include a local sheriff, a juvenile court/probation representative, or an educator.

Director Levin said that at one point the JCEC roster equally represented the Juvenile Justice Commission (JJC) and the Authority Board. An effort should be made to maintain the historical balance while also fulfilling the obligations defined in the application.

Mr. Mahoney said that there might be someone within the probation division of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts (AOIC) who could serve as a probation representative. Cheryl Barrett, who heads the AOIC's probation division, might be an excellent state probation representative. Otherwise, we could reach out to a local juvenile court. Director Levin said that the chief justice at the AOIC has never responded to such invitations. Mr. Mahoney said that perhaps it would be better to pursue a local interest than wait for a state non-response. Chairman Sorosky suggested that Mark C. Curran, Jr., the newly elected sheriff of Lake County, would make a good sheriffs' representative. Director Levin suggested that the conversation regarding the balance of the JCEC be continued off-line.

Mr. Mahoney said that the primary thing is to find individuals who would be willing to serve and show up at meetings since meetings must have a quorum to be effective. He said that he would submit to Director Levin a list of people whom he felt would make good candidates to fill voids on the JCEC panel.

Mr. Reichgelt said that the roster should be addressed before the next application is submitted because the last three applications indicated that the Authority would address this issue.

Juvenile Crime Enforcement Coalition February 1, 2007 Meeting Page 3 of 6

Mr. Mahoney said that while he was in favor of maintaining a balance, he was more concerned with finding good individuals to fill the roster positions.

Mr. Reichgelt called attention to Page 9, Section 7, entitled *Coordination Efforts*, of the application instructions. He said that this section had changed significantly compared to past applications. In particular, he called attention to Section 7, part a), and identified a new requirement; that the state must identify, "how the state makes (juvenile justice information and data) available across agencies and incorporates the data into its comprehensive 3-year plan and annual plan updates." He said that in the past staff would submit the enforcement plan, but now the application demands a three-year strategic plan. This plan will need to be developed over the course of the next year. He said that it was his understanding, confirmed by Mr. Mahoney and Ms. Connell, that the JJC and the Illinois Department of Human Services (DHS) had such plans developed. Mr. Mahoney said that the JJC would share its plan with the Authority to assist in the application process. Mr. Reichgelt said that, at the very least, the Authority's plan is coordinated with the JJC's plan.

Ms. Connell said that the application for the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Title II funds requires the JJC to select particular areas among approved areas provided and explain how efforts will be focused on those particular items.

Director Levin said that if a plan were developed the Authority Board would have to review the plan as well as the JCEC.

Old Business

Director Levin said that a representative from the Chicago Police Department (CPD) was present and that CPD had some issues with some of the discussions at the last JCEC meeting. She introduced CPD Assistant Deputy Superintendent Ann Egan.

Ms. Egan said that CPD had some issues with the minutes of the August 21, 2006 JCEC meeting. First, she said that she was taken aback by the tone of reference to the CPD. For example, the minutes state that, "CPD had indicated that it would send representatives to the meeting... but, in fact, nobody from CPD was in attendance." Ms. Egan said that she could find no evidence that anybody from CPD had been invited. Ms. Scrivner's calendar did not indicate a meeting on that date. Other CPD grant and research staff, including Juvenile Intervention Services Center (JISC) Commander Robert Hargesheimer, did not receive any invitation to the meeting. CPD staff would be more than happy to make a presentation about the JISC program at the next JCEC meeting. Ms. Egan said that such a presentation would be best if presented by representatives of both CPD and the City of Chicago's Children and Youth Services (CYS).

Ms. Egan said that the second issue that CPD had pertaining to the minutes of the August 21, 2006 JCEC meeting was in regard to Director Levin's suggestion that, regarding the

Juvenile Crime Enforcement Coalition February 1, 2007 Meeting Page 4 of 6

JISC program, "...part of the issue is that the CPD and CYS have been trying to work together, but are not really on the same page." Ms. Egan said that CPD and CYS have formed an unusual partnership and both are working hard to make JISC a successful program.

Ms. Egan said that the final issue that CPD wanted to address was lapsing funds. The minutes of the August 21, 2006 JCEC meeting identified CPD as, "the culprit," indicated that CPD demanded dwindling funds, and said that Authority staff tried to, "hold CPD's feet to the fire." Ms. Egan said that such statements do not accurately reflect actions of the CPD. She said that CPD would lapse a small portion (approximately \$11,000) of its FFY03 JABG grant. The grant was divided between CPD and CYS. A joint presentation should be made at the next JCEC meeting to demonstrate the progress made on that grant. CPD does not allow funds to lapse deliberately. For example, the grant that ended in March of 2005 lapsed \$13,000 out of \$2,954,000. CPD greatly appreciates any funds it receives. CPD feels that the JABG funding has been leveraged to obtain city board resources to create a truly sustainable juvenile justice resource. This resource will continue after JABG funding expires. Since the grant ended, CPD has financially supported CYS's social services component at the JISC program for the month of December 2006. The city has also pledged financial support through 2007 so that the program can continue. So far, in the first 10 months of the program, 1,500 youths have been diverted from being sent to court unnecessarily. Court resources are thus freed to deal with tougher cases. Diverted youths will be redirected in a positive direction in a restorative manner in community settings. Everyone who has worked on this project or contributed to it should be proud of it. The minutes of the August 21, 2006 JCEC meeting might be an accurate account of what was said, but CPD feels that some statements contained therein are untrue and defame the CPD.

Director Levin said that the Authority has had a lot of contact with both CPD and CYS since the August 21, 2006 JCEC meeting. Authority staff understands that CPD is spending funds more expeditiously now. However, the JISC grant will actually lapse approximately \$195,000, which is more than CPD's entire grant for next year. Since the August 21, 2006 JCEC meeting, Authority staff have meet with CPD Superintendent Cline in an effort to resolve the issue of lapsing funds. This is a very innovative project involving individuals from disparate fields who must work together and it is no surprise that there were some initial difficulties. During one period, CPD staff attended JCEC meetings on a virtually monthly basis as they delivered reports to the JCEC regarding the JISC program's progress. The issues raised regarding the minutes of the August 21, 2006 JCEC meeting reflect a tiny portion of a much larger conversation that spanned many months and meetings. The JCEC met more often in 2006 than in any other year and many of those meetings were primarily to discuss JISC and its JABG funding. Director Levin commended CPD and CYS staff for improving from having lapsed over \$1 million to lapsing \$195,000. The goal should be to reduce the lapsing amount to zero dollars next year. Things have certainly worked better since the social services component of JISC has started. She said that a contract for next year's JISC funds is pending.

Juvenile Crime Enforcement Coalition February 1, 2007 Meeting Page 5 of 6

Authority staff member Lajuana Murphy said that the contract mentioned by Director Levin was sent to Larry Sachs at CPD around the end of October 2006.

Ms. Egan said that a City Department of Law lawyer has said that she made numerous contacts with the Authority to address issues with the contract.

Director Levin said that the contract is not currently in the Authority's possession. Nobody has contacted the appropriate Authority staff, Lajuana Murphy in this case, regarding the contract. She said that the contract in question is more of a continuation as opposed to casting dispersion. These are block grant funds that CPD is entitled to. CPD will receive approximately \$166,000 this year and approximately \$200,000 next year.

Ms. Egan said that she couldn't attest to the details of the CYS component of the lapsing funds. This grant was shared by CPD and CYS.

Director Levin said that the minutes of the August 21, 2006 JCEC meeting should not be singled out of the larger context. Improvements have been made since August 2006.

Ms. Egan said that perhaps this is a good time to review meeting minutes. The minutes were read many months after the respective meeting, and one can see why CPD would object to the language used. This is why CPD wanted a statement to be included in an amendment to those minutes; some of the statements in the minutes of the August 21, 2006 JCEC meeting were defaming to CPD.

Director Levin said that nobody intended to defame CPD.

Ms. Connell noted that the comments made by Ms. Egan would be reflected in the minutes of this meeting. The minutes of the August 21, 2006 JCEC meeting accurately reflected both what was said at the meeting and also some of the Authority's interactions with the various parties. Ms. Connell said that she was present at the (June 12, 2006) JCEC meeting prior to the August 21, 2006 JCEC meeting at which the JCEC heard extensively from CPD and CYS representatives. Upon leaving that meeting, although no longer subject to being recorded in the meeting minutes at that point, comments were made that the JCEC would see CPD and CYS again at the next meeting.

Ms. Egan said that CPD would be happy to work with CYS to prepare a presentation regarding the JISC program to be delivered at the next JCEC meeting.

Ms. Connell said that she would encourage the JCEC chair to consult with the JCEC members and then contact Ms. Egan regarding a presentation at the next JCEC meeting. Chairman Sorosky accepted Ms. Connell's suggestion.

Mr. Mahoney said that communications between all parties has improved. He commended Cmdr. Hargesheimer's leadership on this issue. When the JCEC first convened, it dealt with a roughly \$8.4 million federal award. Next year's federal award

Juvenile Crime Enforcement Coalition February 1, 2007 Meeting Page 6 of 6

will be approximately \$1.5 million. The pass-through to the City of Chicago will drop dramatically. It would behave all parties to improve coordination because there are fewer dollars available to everyone.

<u>Adjourn</u>

Mr. Mahoney moved to adjourn. Ms. Engel seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous voice vote as follows.

Aye – 8	Nay – 0	Abstain – 0	Absent – 2
Mr. Ahitow			Mr. Friedenauer
Ms. Connell			Mr. Lonbom
Ms. Engel			
Ms. Healy Ryan			
Mr. Leofanti			
Mr. Mahoney			
Mr. Sorosky			
Mr. Straza			

The meeting was adjourned at 2:28 p.m.



120 South Riverside Plaza • Suite 1016 • Chicago, Illinois 60606 • (312) 793-8550

MEMORANDUM

TO:	Juvenile Crime Enforcement Coalition Members
FROM:	John Chojnacki, Associate Director, Federal and State Grants Unit
DATE:	March 30, 2007
RE:	FFY02 Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grants Program Plan Adjustment #8 FFY03 Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grants Program Plan Adjustment #7 FFY04 Juvenile Accountability Block Grants Program Plan Adjustment #2 FFY05 Juvenile Accountability Block Grants Program Plan Adjustment #1

This memo describes proposed adjustments to the FFY02 and FFY03 Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grants (JAIBG) plans, and FFY04 and FFY05 Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG) plan.

Designation Reductions

<u>FFY02 - FFY04</u>

The table below describes the total amounts in FFY02, FFY03, and FFY04 funds returned to the Authority. FFY02 and FFY03 figures are being provided for informational purposes only as these funds are no longer available for use.

At the September 8, 2006 Budget Committee meeting staff recommended designating \$300,000 in FFY04 funds for three Juvenile Reporting Center Programs to be reported at a later date. Over the last six months staff has worked with the three sites to develop proposals for the programs, but at this time need to report that none has been able to successfully obtain permission of their county boards for the implementation of the program. One of the biggest hurdles has been the match requirement and the reluctance of the counties to hire for a program with only three years of promised funding. At this time staff would like to use these funds for future designations.

Entity / Program	Reason for Return	FFY02	FFY03	FFY04
Chicago Police	No services to youth	\$797,892		
Department-Juvenile Gang	were provided during this			
Intervention	period of performance			
	due to delays in the			
	opening of the Center.			
Washington Park-JAIBG	Funds remained unspent	\$179		
program	at the end the program			
	period.			
ICJIA-JAIBG Program	Agency was not able to	\$18,000		
	complete the purchase of			
	the BARJ guidelines			
	before the end of the			
	Federal Grant period.			
ICJIA-JAIBG Scholarship	\$200,000 was set-a-side	\$183,907		
Program	for the scholarship			
	program of which only			
	\$16,093 was spent.			
Will County-Station	Agency was not able to	\$45,834		
Adjustment Program	complete contract			
	negotiations before the			
	end of the Federal grant			
	period.			
Village of Melrose Park-	Funds remained unspent		\$1,335	
Youth Outreach Services	at the end the program			
Assessment Program	period.			
Cook County State's	Funds remained unspent		\$120,906	
Attorney- Project Reclaim	because of low referrals			
	to the program.			
Town of Cicero-Juvenile	Program was never		\$16,247	
Information Sharing	implemented.			
Program				
Chicago Police	Cost for vendor services		\$70,560	
Department-Juvenile Gang	were less than expected.			
Intervention				
Springfield Police	Program was not fully		\$13,081	
Department-Junior Police	operational for entire			
Academy	period.			
Illinois Department of	Funds remained unspent		\$30,991	
Juvenile Justice-Juvenile	at the end the program			
Parole Improvement	period.			
Program				
Cook County State's	Funds remained unspent		\$60,366	
Attorney-Victim/Offender	at the end the program			
Conferencing Program	period.			

ICJIA – BARJ Manuals	Actual costs for manuals	\$308	
ICJIA – DARJ Manuals	came in lower that	\$508	
	originally budgeted.		
City of Naperville-Women	Changes in staff resulted	\$1,138	
Involved in Girl's Success	e	\$1,130	
Involved in Girl's Success	in lower than expected		
	contractual expenses.	фс 204	
City of Tinley Park-JAIBG	Funds were waived.	\$6,394	
ICJIA-BARJ Substance	Actual costs for training	\$271	
Abuse Training Program	were less that originally		
	budgeted.		
ICJIA-BARJ trainings	Costs were less than	\$25,092	
	expected.		
Attorney General's Office-	Lower attendance	\$15,582	
Statewide Youth Court	reduced the cost, and		
Summit	some resource material		
	was not purchased.		
LaSalle County-Youth	Number of referrals was	\$4,400	
Giving Back Program	less than expected.		
Illinois Department of	Short program period	\$24,980	
Juvenile Justice-	limited the use of all		
Transitional Housing	funds made available.		
Reentry Program			
Evanston Police	Actual costs were over	\$7,604	
Department-Community	estimated.	<i>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</i>	
Services Program			
City of Peoria-Juvenile	Funds remained unspent	\$2,147	
Station Adjustment	at the end the program	φ_,,	
Program	period.		
East St. Louis-Juvenile	Funds remained unspent	\$426	
Offender Monitoring	at the end the program	φ120	
Program	period.		
Illinois Department of	Agency could not make	\$6,070	
Juvenile Justice-Illinois	match on original	\$0,070	
Youth Center, Pere	designation so completed		
Marquette	as much of program as		
Marquette	possible.		
Cook County Investig	1	¢100	
Cook County Juvenile	Funds remained unspent	\$100	
Probation-Electronic	at the end the program		
Monitoring	period.	(h)	
Will County-JABG	Funds remained unspent	\$3	
Scholarship Training	at the end the program		
Program	period.		
Winnebago County-	Funds remained unspent	\$15	
Scholarship Training	at the end the program		
Program	period.		

Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.		\$841	
Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.		\$237	
Funds remained unspent at the end the program		\$162	
Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.		\$177	
Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.		\$418	
Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.		\$77	
Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.		\$1,045	
Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.		\$416	
Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.		\$806	
Funds remained unspent at the end the program		\$50	
Not all of the equipment needed was purchased by		\$19,415	
Grantee could not get agreement signed by the end date.		\$24,610	
Grantee could not get agreement signed by the end date.		\$8,439	
	\$1.045 812	\$464 709	\$300,000 \$300,000
	at the end the program period. Funds remained unspent at the end the program period. Grantee could not get agreement signed by the end date.	at the end the program period.Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.Grantee could not get agreement signed by the end date.Grantee could not get agreement signed by the end date.Grantee could not get agreement signed by the end date.	at the end the program period.\$237Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.\$162Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.\$177Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.\$1,045Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.\$1,045Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.\$1,045Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.\$10,415Funds remained unspent at the end the program period.\$19,415Grantee could not get agreement signed by the end date.\$8,439Grantee could not get agreement signed by the end date.\$8,439Grantee could not get agreement signed by the end date.\$8,439Grantee could not get agreement signed by the end date.\$8,439

FFY04 Recommended Designations

1. <u>Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice – Parole Re-adjustment Program</u>

Staff recommends \$238,500 in FFY04 funds to continue the Parole Re-adjustment Program. The program is based at the Illinois Youth Center in Joliet, Illinois. It is a 90day Parole Readjustment Program (PRP) designed to provide enhanced reentry services to 100 medium security technical violators through a variety of programs including education, individual and group counseling, intensive case management, and a continuum of wrap-around services directed for reentry. 90-day program modules will be coupled with intensive and clinical case management professionals working closely with juvenile parole staff to ensure successful program completion and community reentry.

2. <u>Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice-Intake Process development:</u>

Staff recommends designating a total of \$18,000 in FFY04 funds to the Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice to support the Intake Process Development Program. A review of the intake and assessment system has been completed and the Transition Team will recommend the implementation of a comprehensive intake and assessment system that would integrate with an overarching, seamless case management system. Funds will be utilized for new software to be purchased or developed, new computer equipment for the intake units at the IYC St. Charles and IYC Harrisburg facilities to utilize the software and training of intake personnel on new procedures.

3. Balanced and Restorative Justice (BARJ) Training

Staff recommends designating \$12,028 in FFY04 funds to the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority for a two-day seminar on BARJ and victims to be held in Bloomington, Illinois, and a one-day seminar on BARJ and substance abuse for juvenile justice professionals, also to be held in Bloomington. There has been a lot of interest in how to make sure victims are included in BARJ. During many meetings and trainings conversations have been held on how to make sure victims feel comfortable being involved in BARJ practices. In addition, a well-known national speaker on the topic of BARJ who is affiliated with the Department of Justice-funded National BARJ Project is interested in doing a presentation on the topic in Illinois.

The Research and Analysis Unit of the ICJIA is also proposing a one-day seminar on BARJ and substance abuse. This seminar was previously held in Elk Grove Village on September 28, 2006. It was very well received and had a waiting list to attend. The evaluation results showed that participants rated the seminar as "very good" and 90 percent felt that the seminar's content was suitable to their background and experience. The agenda will be altered slightly based on the comments provided from participant evaluations. Dee Bell, a well-known national speaker on the topic of BARJ affiliated with the Department of Justice-funded National BARJ Project is again interested in doing a presentation on the topic in Illinois. Eleven participants commented that Dee Bell was what they liked most about the training.

4. Balanced and Restorative Justice Statewide Conference

Staff recommends designating an additional \$76,405 in FFY04 funds to Jefferson County for a statewide conference and a pair of regional trainings for juvenile justice practitioners in Illinois. Many juvenile justice system and social service agency workers, victim advocates, school personnel, and interested community members have familiarized themselves with general BARJ concepts and principles over the past ten years. There is consensus among many BARJ advocates that there is a great need for help with implementation of BARJ philosophies.

A fall 2007 Conference will be offered to current BARJ advocates across the state who have been actively involved in promoting and developing BARJ-based programming. Invitees will be drawn from both a geographically diverse section of the state and a diverse interest group to reflect justice system workers, agency and school personnel, victim support advocates, etc.

Jefferson County is also proposing a total of two regional one-day trainings in Balanced and Restorative Justice (BARJ) Practices. These one-day events would first introduce the philosophy of BARJ and then expose participants to each of four different practices, those being Peacemaking Circles, Restorative Conferencing, Victim-Offender Dialogue, and Community Panels. This would be done in a "round robin" fashion. With 48 individuals at each event the group would be divided into four groups of twelve each as they rotate. These two trainings would be held in southern Illinois and Cook County.

FFY05 Recommended Designations

Alternatives to Detention / Juvenile Reporting Centers Program:

Staff recommends designating \$81,000 in FFY05 funds to the First Judicial Circuit and \$44,500 to Tazewell County for their Juvenile Reporting Center Programs. One of the key problems that juveniles at risk of detention face is the lack of parental supervision. At-risk juveniles are typically unsupervised after school, providing them opportunities for delinquent behavior in addition to deepening academic and behavioral problems. Day and evening reporting centers build partnerships between local schools, probation, and service providers for coordinated services for juveniles while fostering accountability. These centers provide a structured environment for juveniles after school hours when they are typically unsupervised.

These programs were formerly funded under the Anti Drug Abuse Act (ADAA) grant program and will reach their 48-month maximum program-funding limit. These programs are no longer eligible for ADAA funds. Three of the five Juvenile Reporting Center programs were picked up by their counties for continuation, but due to budget constraints at the county level these two programs will not be picked up by their counties and will be terminated.

FFY04 Funds FFY05 Funds Entity / Program Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice / \$238,500 Parole Re-adjustment Program Intake Process development: \$18,000 \$12,028 **ICJIA BARJ Training:** Jefferson Co. BARJ Training \$76,405 First Judicial Circuit-Juvenile Reporting \$81,000 Center Tazewell County-Juvenile Reporting Center \$44,500 TOTAL \$125,500 \$344,933

Requests for new FFY04 and FFY05 designations are summarized in the table below:

Summary of Available FFY04 & FFY05 Funds

The table below describes currently available funds, assuming the adoption of the staff's recommendations described in the memo above:

Currently Available Funds	FFY04	FFY05	Total
Local	\$0	\$149,321	\$149,321
State/Discretionary	\$0	\$332,600	\$332,600
Total	\$0	\$481,921	\$481,921

Staff will be available at the meeting to answer any questions.